

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
JUDICIAL BRANCH
NH CIRCUIT COURT

6th Circuit - Family Division - Concord
32 Clinton Street
Concord NH 03301

Telephone: 1-855-212-1234
TTY/TDD Relay: (800) 735-2964
<https://www.courts.nh.gov>

NOTICE OF DECISION

FILE COPY

Case Name: **In the Matter of Jennifer Eber and Gordon MacDonald**
Case Number: **644-2020-DM-00107**

Enclosed please find a copy of the Court's Order dated January 18, 2023 relative to:

SEE ATTACHED COURT ORDER

RE: ORDER ON TELEPHONIC STATUS CONFERENCE

January 19, 2023

Theresa A. McCafferty
Clerk of Court

(152)

C: James J Tenn, JR; Meegan C. Reis, ESQ

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

JUDICIAL BRANCH

NH CIRCUIT COURT

MERRIMACK COUNTY

6TH CIRCUIT -FAMILY DIVISION - CONCORD

In the Matter of

Jennifer Eber & Gordon MacDonald

Case No. 644-2020-DM-107

ORDER ON TELEPHONIC STATUS CONFERENCE

The Court conducted a Telephonic Status Conference in this divorce matter, this date. Both counsel appeared. The parties were excused by agreement.

Counsel report that the parties continue to work cooperatively toward settlement. Discovery has been ongoing and has also been taking place cooperatively. Parties and counsel request a further telephonic conference after April 1, 2023, to afford additional time for settlement negotiations.


The Court notes the recusal of two prior judges, as reflected in the record. The undersigned spent time on the record at this hearing disclosing his prior relationships with both Petitioner and Respondent. If either party or counsel believes it is appropriate for the undersigned to be recused from hearing this matter, either party may file a motion requesting recusal, and requesting special assignment to another judicial officer, as discussed on the record.

The Clerk is requested to schedule this matter for a further Telephonic Status Conference (30 minutes allotted), after April 1, 2023. Both counsel shall be required to attend. Both parties are excused by agreement of counsel.

So ordered.

1/18/2023

Date



David J. Burns, Circuit Judge

25

1 STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

2 6TH CIRCUIT COURT - FAMILY DIVISION - CONCORD

3
4 IN THE MATTER OF,) Family Division Case No.
5 JENNIFER EBER,)) 644-2020-DM-00107
6 Petitioner,)) Concord, New Hampshire
7 vs.)) January 18, 2023
8 GORDAN MACDONALD,)) 1:59 p.m.
9 Respondent.))

10 TELEPHONIC CONFERENCE
11 BEFORE THE HONORABLE DAVID BURNS
12 JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT - FAMILY DIVISION

13 APPEARANCES (all present by video or telephone):

14 For the Petitioner: Meegan Reis
15 DWYER DONOVAN & REIS PA
461 Middle St
Portsmouth, NH 03801

16 For the Respondent: James Tenn, Jr.
17 TENN AND TENN PA
16 High St Suite 3
Manchester, NH 03101

18 Audio Operator: Electronically Recorded
19 **Not Monitored**

20 TRANSCRIPTION COMPANY: eScribers, LLC
21 7227 N. 16th Street, Suite 207
Phoenix, AZ 85020
(800) 257-0885
www.escribers.net

22
23 Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript
24 produced by court-approved transcription service.
25

1 (Proceedings commence at 1:59 p.m.)

2 THE COURT: Good afternoon. We are on the record in
3 the Concord Family Division. It is Wednesday, January 18th,
4 2023. This is case number 644-2020-DM-00107. It's a matter
5 of Jennifer Eber and Gordon MacDonald.

6 And on the line are Attorney Meegan Reis on behalf
7 of Jennifer Eber and Attorney James Tenn on behalf of Gordon
8 MacDonald.

9 My name is David Burns, and I'm presiding in the
10 Concord Family Division this afternoon. So good afternoon to
11 you both.

12 MR. TENN: Good afternoon, Your Honor.

13 MS. REIS: Good afternoon, Judge.

14 THE COURT: We are scheduled today for a conference
15 regarding the status of your case. And I need to start out
16 with a couple of preliminary remarks, if I can. If I can ask
17 for your indulgence for just a moment or two.

18 For starters, I want both counsel to be aware of --
19 I've reviewed the file, and I'm aware of the recusal of Judge
20 McIntyre and Judge Hersh. And I also have reviewed Attorney
21 Reis' assent to motion for recusal that was granted most
22 recently. And in it, I noted that Attorney Reis requested,
23 and I think Attorney Tenn assented, to the appointment of
24 Judge Tenney to hear the case. But that prayer for relief was
25 not granted, and I suspect I know why.

1 So I'm just going to sort of speculate a little bit
2 as to why. Judge Tenney -- excuse me -- is not sitting here
3 in the Concord Family Division this year. He clearly was back
4 in 2021 when he signed one of the initial orders in the case,
5 but he's not sitting here this year. And so it's possible
6 that the request to have Judge Tenney hearing this was not
7 granted, A, because he's not sitting here this year, and B,
8 because assigning him to the case would have essentially
9 required a change of venue. And it's not clear whether the --
10 or the parties and counsel were anticipating or actually
11 interested in a change of venue.

12 So I just want to open the conversation with that.
13 I'm sure there are more substantive things to talk about. But
14 I just want to open the conversation by referencing that and
15 just ask your feelings about the assignment to Judge Tenney.

16 I will tell you, I'm trying to figure out -- I've
17 made an inquiry or two about where Judge Tenney is sitting
18 this year and I don't have a solid answer for you as I'm
19 speaking right now, but I believe he may be at least part of
20 the time in the Upper Valley, maybe in the Lebanon area or
21 Newport. He also had been sitting in Franklin some of the
22 time. So I just -- I'm not entirely sure, as I'm speaking
23 with you, as to where he's sitting.

24 So having said all that, let me turn things over to
25 you both just on the issue of judicial assignment.

1 MR. TENN: So this is Attorney Tenn.

2 Judge, I think we were just trying to find a judge
3 that wouldn't have a conflict. And I think we're fine. I
4 don't -- unless Attorney Reis thinks that you might have a
5 conflict -- I think we're just fine. And I don't know of any
6 conflict with you sitting on the case, and we'd be just fine
7 to leave it at that. That's my two cents on that. And I
8 don't know if Meegan has something different.

9 THE COURT: Okay.

10 MS. REIS: Judge, if you don't mind, I think in
11 previous cases judges have kind of let us know if they've --
12 they themselves have any reason to feel like they've had or
13 might have a conflict with Gordon MacDonald. I'm not hearing
14 you share anything on the record that concerns you; is that
15 correct?

16 THE COURT: Yes. That's the short answer, but I
17 also am prepared to give you sort of full disclosure about
18 both parties, just so both of you as counsel and both parties
19 know where I stand. I -- the short answer is, I don't believe
20 that there's a conflict, but I want everybody to be fully
21 informed.

22 MS. REIS: Would you mind, Judge, just kind of
23 letting us know if you've had any contact with the parties?
24 If you have any awareness of them?

25 THE COURT: Sure. Sure. So here's my background

1 with regard to each of the -- each of the parties.

2 For starters, I know Attorney Eber, and I would say
3 I do not know her well, but I know Attorney Eber. Attorney
4 Eber and I were admitted to the bar either around the same
5 time or maybe at the very same time in the fall of 19 -- I was
6 admitted in the fall of 1991, and I think Attorney Eber was
7 admitted around that time as well.

8 She was, at the time, a new associate at the Orr &
9 Reno law firm in Concord. I was, at the time, a new associate
10 at a different law firm in Concord.

11 Over the 30 or so years since then I cannot think of
12 a case in which I had Attorney Eber on the other side. I
13 think we just did different types of work and so forth. I
14 don't believe I've ever had a -- just operating from memory, I
15 don't believe I've ever had a case with Attorney Eber. I
16 don't believe that I have any professional history with her.
17 We've never worked in the same office. I don't believe -- and
18 again, just operating from memory -- I don't believe I've done
19 any -- I've been involved in bar association committees and so
20 forth over the years and other community based civic groups
21 and so forth. I don't believe we have ever been members of
22 bar committees or other civic groups.

23 At the same time, I also don't believe that -- we
24 are not -- we are not socially involved in the same social
25 groups or social circles. Although, I'm sure I've been to a

1 bar event over the years where Attorney Eber may have been
2 present. I've never been to her house. She's never been to
3 my house. We don't do activities together.

4 I will be candid with you. I think the last time I
5 saw Attorney Eber, my wife and I -- we live in Concord -- I
6 think my wife and I were participating in a local road race.
7 That's a fundraiser for the local hospital. It's called the
8 Rock'N Race. I think that's in May. I think the last time I
9 saw Attorney Eber, my wife, Heather, and I bumped into her at
10 the Rock'N Race. I think she was -- I know she's a runner. I
11 think she was running the race. And I can assure you that my
12 wife and I were walking the race because we're --

13 MR. TENN: We'll take note, Judge.

14 THE COURT: Yeah. You can fully disclose this. I
15 don't think we're quite as athletic as she is. But so I think
16 that's the last time I bumped into Attorney Eber.

17 So that's -- I think sort of the -- we've always
18 been able to sort of exchange pleasantries and so forth, but
19 that's about the full extent of it.

20 Turning to the Respondent, Justice MacDonald, I --
21 my full disclosure is this: I don't think I had ever met
22 Justice MacDonald prior to his joining the Supreme Court
23 bench. I don't believe I've ever served on a committee with
24 him or a board of any sort.

25 I do not have any social history with Judge --

1 Justice MacDonald. I again, as I said with Attorney Eber,
2 I've never been to his home. He's never been to mine. Our
3 kids are not the same age. We don't have social
4 overlapping -- social networks.

5 I can say that as part of his job, Justice MacDonald
6 has attended a couple of the circuit court -- the periodic
7 circuit court training and education days that we have. He's
8 attended, I think, to give keynote remarks or otherwise,
9 listen to circuit court presentations relating to the
10 functions of the courts.

11 So that is -- I'm not on any bar committees or court
12 committees with him. And so aside from perhaps shaking hands
13 with him on a couple of occasions and saying hello and
14 exchanging pleasantries, I don't have any history with Justice
15 MacDonald.

16 But I need to state the obvious. And the obvious is
17 that he presides over the judicial branch, and I ultimately
18 report to him. So that's sort of my full disclosure regarding
19 Justice MacDonald.

20 MS. REIS: Thank you, Judge.

21 THE COURT: Sure. Sure. So I --

22 MS. REIS: I don't -- I'm not hearing anything on
23 the record that raises any concern for me at this time.
24 Obviously, I just want to review with my client to make sure
25 there's nothing none of us are recalling.

1 I also think for today's purposes we're fine
2 continuing with the status hearing. And if something comes up
3 Attorney Tenn and I can certainly bring it to the Court's
4 attention afterwards.

5 THE COURT: Okay. Sure. And I will say a couple of
6 things in response.

7 First of all, absolutely neither counsel and neither
8 party should feel any hesitation about moving for my recusal.
9 I would totally understand if either party wanted to do that.

10 Having said that, I guess what I would say is, if
11 you do want a special assignment to a particular judge just
12 indicate that. And I also, in reviewing the file and
13 scratching my head about this, I don't -- I guess I want to
14 just air the question of whether it makes sense to think about
15 special designations of judges from outside of the state. I
16 don't know if that's something that's contemplated or not, but
17 I'll just -- I didn't see any indication of that in the file.
18 So I don't know if that's a realistic proposition or not.

19 MR. TENN: From my perspective -- this is Attorney
20 Tenn -- from my perspective, I think what we've done before
21 is, if I'm not wrong, I think we can proceed with this hearing
22 and then each of us can speak with counsel and if anybody has
23 an issue, we'll alert the clerk's office.

24 And if we do have an issue, we'll be more specific
25 about maybe what the next step might be and what we suggest,

1 ss you won't have to guess at it.

2 THE COURT: Okay. That sounds great.

3 MS. REIS: I would agree with that.

4 THE COURT: All right, that sounds great.

5 Okay. So with all that preliminary stuff out of the
6 way, let me turn things over to you as to the status.

7 MS. REIS: Attorney Tenn, I'll let you, if you want
8 to go first, and I'll just correct any mistake.

9 MR. TENN: That's great, why should anything change.

10 So Your Honor, I think substantively, what we were
11 hoping is that the -- could have until on or about April 1, to
12 give the Court some indication as to what, if anything, we
13 think should happen as the next event.

14 The parties are communicating well. We're still
15 involved in attempting to resolve this case by agreement.
16 Discovery has not been a problem. Attorney Reis and I are
17 very cooperative.

18 And so really, from my perspective, if the Court
19 would let us calendar April 1st to give the Court a heads up
20 as to what the status is, I think that's all we might need
21 today substantively.

22 I've talked to Attorney Reis this morning about what
23 our plan is to move forward, and I think she and I are on the
24 same page. That's what I'd say.

25 THE COURT: Okay. Attorney Reis?

1 MR. TENN: I would agree with that, Judge.

2 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So is there any
3 objection to -- I just -- the clerk's office will usually
4 bring me up short if I neglect to schedule a next event. So
5 any objection if I -- if I say telephonic status after April
6 1st, is that -- does that work?

7 MS. REIS: I think that's fine, Judge. And if we
8 even want to have that as counsel only, we can do that, and if
9 either party -- if we need to change that or want to change
10 that, Attorney Tenn and I can be in touch to let the Court
11 know that.

12 MR. TENN: Yep, that's totally fine. I agree.

13 THE COURT: Okay. All right. That sounds great.
14 Anything else that can be accomplished today?

15 MS. REIS: I don't believe so, Judge.

16 MR. TENN: I don't think so, Judge. Thank you very
17 much.

18 THE COURT: All right. Thank you both for calling
19 in. Appreciate your time today. I'll get a short order out,
20 and we'll look forward to hearing from you.

21 MR. TENN: Thank you.

22 MS. REIS: Thank you very much, Judge.
23 Thanks, Attorney Tenn.

24 MR. TENN: Thank you.

25 THE COURT: Thank you both.

1 MS. REIS: Bye-bye.

2 (Hearing concluded at 2:15 p.m.)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CERTIFICATE

I, Shauna Drinon, a court-approved proofreader, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, to the best of my professional skills and abilities.

TRANSCRIPTIONIST(S): Gabrielle Eason, CDLT-284

**Shauna
Drinon**

Digitally Signed by
Shauna Drinon
Date: 08.09.2025 02:37:38 +00:00

Shauna Drinon, CDLT-328
Proofreader

August 9th, 2025